

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/652,820 08/31/2000 John Oliensis 13725 4355 EXAMINER 20844 09/09/2004 NEC LABORATORIES AMERICA, INC. KIBLER, VIRGINIA M 4 INDEPENDENCE WAY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PRINCETON, NJ 08540 2623

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|--|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| _ | 09/652,820 | OLIENSIS, JOHN |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Virginia M Kibler | 2623 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 N This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under N | s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject. | wn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all all all all all all all all all al | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion No red in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \(\sum \) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | |
| r aper rivo(s)/rivian Date | o) [_] Other: | |

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment received on 5/27/04 has been entered. Claims 16-19 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oliensis ("Structure from Linear or Planar Motion," IEEE 1996) and Irani ("Multi-Frame Optical Flow Estimation Using Subspace Constraints").

Regarding claim 16, Oliensis discloses computing rotational motion in the successive image data using rotational flow vectors derived from tracked points collected from the successive image data (Sect. 2), constructing a shift data matrix for the tracked points that compensates for the rotational motion in the successive image data (Sect. 2), and decomposing the shift data matrix into motion vector (Sect. 2.1-2.2) and a structure vector (Sect. 2.2.1) and recovering the scene structure by solving for the structure vector (Sect. 2.3.1). Oliensis recognizes using intensity data (Reference 10, "Oliensis in preparation", the algorithm is for point features but easily extends to a direct method based on image intensities), but does not specifically disclose dividing the successive image data into smoothing windows, and computing a projection matrix which is block diagonal between different smoothing windows. However,

Application/Control Number: 09/652,820 Page 3

Art Unit: 2623

Irani discloses reconstructing scene structure using intensity (Sect. 3), dividing the successive image data into smoothing windows (Sect. 3.2), and computing a projection matrix which is block diagonal between different smoothing windows and which is used to recover the scene structure (Sect. 4). Oliensis and Irani are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor of scene reconstruction. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the recovering of scene structure disclosed by Oliensis to include using intensity data and dividing the image data into smoothing windows. The motivation for doing so would have been because it is well known in the art and provides an estimation of optical-flow across multiple frames using measurable image quantities. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Oliensis with Irani to obtain the invention as specified in claim 16.

Regarding claim 17, Oliensis discloses the shift data representation is decomposed using SVD (Sect. 2.2.1).

Regarding claim 18, Oliensis discloses wherein SVD is used to compute a rank-1 factorization (Sect. 2.2.1).

Regarding claim 19, Oliensis discloses the method is iterated until it converges to a reconstruction of the scene structure (Sect. 2.2.3).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,820

Art Unit: 2623

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia M Kibler whose telephone number is (703) 306-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00 - 5:30 and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Virginia Kibler can be reached on (703) 308-4072. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,820

Art Unit: 2623

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Virginia Kibler

Vuyen Kien

09/02/04

MEHRDAD DASTOURI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mehrdad Dastomi